

Highly Efficient “On Water” Catalyst-Free Nucleophilic Addition Reactions Using Difluoroenoxysilanes: Dramatic Fluorine Effects**

Jin-Sheng Yu, Yun-Lin Liu, Jing Tang, Xin Wang,* and Jian Zhou*


Dedicated to Professor Li-Xin Dai on the occasion of his 90th birthday

Abstract: A remarkable fluorine effect on “on water” reactions is reported. The C–F...H–O interactions between suitably fluorinated nucleophiles and the hydrogen-bond network at the phase boundary of oil droplets enable the formation of a unique microstructure to facilitate on water catalyst-free reactions, which are difficult to realize using nonfluorinated substrates. Accordingly, a highly efficient on water, catalyst-free reaction of difluoroenoxysilanes with aldehydes, activated ketones, and isatylidene malononitriles was developed, thus leading to the highly efficient synthesis of a variety of α,α -difluoro- β -hydroxy ketones and quaternary oxindoles.

Since the landmark work of Sharpless and co-workers,^[1a] “on water” catalysis has been established as a powerful strategy in organic synthesis.^[1] It is very important to explore the potential of this methodology in the synthesis of value-added products, as water is a cheap, safe, and an environmentally benign solvent. Generally, on water reactions rely on the heterogeneity of reaction mixtures, and the rate acceleration is related to the unique chemistry between water and reactants at the phase boundary, where hydrogen-bonding interactions play an important role.^[1] Many organic reactions have been tried under on water conditions to improve synthetic efficiency, however, the influences of fluorine substitution^[2] on a reaction under such conditions remains to be explored.^[3] In contrast to the hyper-hydrophobicity of highly fluorinated compounds, a single fluorine substitution on an aliphatic chain actually reduces the hydrophobicity.^[2]

Accordingly, we envisioned that C–F...H–O interactions^[4] might bring about beneficial effects to on water reactions.

For example, through the interactions with hydrogen-bond networks at the phase boundary, the fluorinated moiety of the nucleophile and the hydrophilic part of the electrophile might be organized at the periphery of the oil droplet, while the hydrophobic part is positioned within the hydrophobic interior (Scheme 1). As a result, the free hydroxy groups at



Scheme 1. Schematic of the fluorine effects on on water reactions.

the interface can only stabilize both the reactants and the transition state,^[11] but importantly, they organize both reaction partners into a favorable orientation to facilitate the desired reaction. Therefore, it is possible to take advantage of such effects to develop on water reactions, which could not be realized by using nonfluorinated nucleophiles, even in the absence of any catalyst, to enable the synthesis of valuable fluorinated products.^[5]

This hypothesis, along with our previous finding that C–F...H–N interactions dramatically affected the cyanation of ketimines,^[6ab] encouraged us to explore the possible effects of fluorine substitution on on water reactions as part of our work on selective introduction of fluoroalkyl groups.^[6] The difluoroenoxysilane **1**^[7] (for structures see Table 1) was used as the ideal fluorinated nucleophile to initiate our study because it only contained a CF₂ group as the hydrophilic part, and could simplify the analysis. The on water, catalyst-free aldol reaction using **1** was first examined, in view of the versatility of the product α,α -difluoro- β -hydroxy ketones **3**,^[8] for the synthesis of difluoromethylated compounds^[9] which are of current interest in medicinal research.^[10] In addition, while several Lewis acid catalyzed protocols are known,^[7a,8ab] a metal-free version is lacking, and an on water, catalyst-free method would avoid heavy-metal contamination of the products. It should also be noted that although much progress had been made in the Lewis acid catalyzed aldol reaction of nonfluorinated trimethylsilyl (TMS) based nucleophiles in

[*] J.-S. Yu, Y.-L. Liu, J. Tang, Prof. Dr. J. Zhou
Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, East China Normal University
3663N, Zhongshan Road, Shanghai 200062 (China)
E-mail: jzhou@chem.ecnu.edu.cn

Prof. Dr. X. Wang
College of Chemistry, Sichuan University
Chengdu, 610064 (China)
E-mail: wangxin@scu.edu.cn

Prof. Dr. J. Zhou
State Key Laboratory of Elemento-organic Chemistry
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071 (P. R. China)

[**] We thank Prof. Dr. Shu-Hua Li of Nanjing University and Prof. Dr. Yu-Xue Li of Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry for their constructive suggestions. Financial support from NSFC (21172075, 21222204), 973 program (2011CB808600), the Ministry of Education (NCET-11-0147 and PCSIRT), and Program of SSCS (13XD1401600) is appreciated.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201404432>.

water,^[11a] the corresponding on water, catalyst-free processes are rare and limited to the reactions using highly active aldehydes or TMS-based nucleophiles.^[11b–d]

To our delight, the on water reaction of **1a** with the aldehyde **2a** was complete within 10 hours at 50 °C to give the product **3a** in 85 % yield (entry 1, Table 1). Remarkably, the

Table 1: Representative results.

Entry ^[a]	Solvent	Additive	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	H ₂ O	–	10	85
2	THF	DMAP	72	10
3	THF	Ar–NH–C(=S)–NH–Ar 4	144	43
4	THF/H ₂ O ^[c]	–	24	21
5	H ₂ O	PhSO ₃ H	10	70
6	H ₂ O	4-C ₁₂ H ₂₅ C ₆ H ₄ SO ₃ H	10	77
7	H ₂ O	C ₁₂ H ₂₅ SO ₃ Na	10	79
8	neat	–	10	–


[a] On a 0.25 mmol scale. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] THF/H₂O (7:1, v/v). THF = tetrahydrofuran.

reaction was much more efficient than those run in organic solvents (see the Supporting Information). We had previously discovered that 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP) could effectively activate **1** to react with isatins or β,γ-unsaturated α-ketoesters in THF,^[6de] however, it catalyzed the present reaction very slowly (entry 2). The thiourea **4** [Ar = 3,5-(CF₃)₂C₆H₃]^[12] was inefficient as well, thus affording **3a** in only 43 % yield, even after six days (entry 3). These results unambiguously showed that the development of a metal-free protocol of this reaction was not trivial. The heterogeneity proved to be very important,^[13] as the homogeneous reaction using a mixed solvent of THF/H₂O (7:1, v/v) gave **3a** in a low yield (entry 4). The use of a Brønsted acid might affect the microenvironment at the phase boundary, thus leading to a reduced yield. For example, the use of PhSO₃H decreased the yield of **3a** from 85 % to 70 % (entry 1 versus entry 5). The use of a surfactant,^[14] either *p*-dodecylbenzenesulfonic acid or sodium dodecyl sulfonate, to improve the reaction efficiency was tried, but resulted in no positive result (entries 6 and 7), possibly because of the interference of the surfactant on the microenvironment of the reaction. Noticeably, no reaction took place under solvent-free conditions (entry 8), thus indicating the rate acceleration was not a result of the increase in the effective concentration of the reactants.

The scope of this on water, catalyst-free protocol with respect to the difluoroenoxyisanes **1** and aldehydes **2** was then examined by running the reaction at 50 °C (Table 2). First, it should be pointed out that this catalyst-free, on water protocol could be used for preparative synthesis, as demonstrated by a scaled up reaction using 6.0 mmol of **2a**, which afforded **3a** in 1.55 grams with 86 % yield (entry 2). Generally, the benzaldehydes **2a–i** worked well with **1a** to give the

Table 2: Substrate scope of Mukaiyama aldol reaction.

Entry ^[a]	R ¹	R ²	3	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	1a : Ph	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3a	85
2 ^[c]	1a : Ph (6.0 mmol)	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3a	86
3	1a : Ph	2b : <i>o</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3b	78
4	1a : Ph	2c : <i>m</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3c	80
5	1a : Ph	2d : <i>p</i> -NO ₂ C ₆ H ₄	3d	94
6	1a : Ph	2e : <i>p</i> -CF ₃ C ₆ H ₄	3e	84
7	1a : Ph	2f : <i>p</i> -CNC ₆ H ₄	3f	80
8	1a : Ph	2g : Ph	3g	64
9	1a : Ph	2h : <i>m</i> -MeC ₆ H ₄	3h	76
10	1a : Ph	2i : <i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	3i	61
11	1a : Ph	2j : 2-naphthyl	3j	62
12	1a : Ph	2k : thienyl	3k	48
13 ^[d]	1a : Ph	2l : PhCH ₂ CH ₂	3l	33
14	1b : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3m	94
15	1c : <i>m</i> -MeC ₆ H ₄	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3n	93
16 ^[e]	1d : 2-naphthyl	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3o	66
17	1e : <i>p</i> -MeOC ₆ H ₄	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3p	85
18	1f : PhCH ₂ CH ₂	2a : <i>p</i> -ClC ₆ H ₄	3q	59




[a] On a 0.25 mmol scale. [b] Yield of the isolated product. [c] On a 6.0 mmol scale. [d] Saturated NaCl (aq.) as the solvent and on a 1.0 mmol scale. [e] Used 1.2 equiv of **1d**.

products **3a–i** in good to high yield (entries 1–10). 2-Naphthyl aldehyde (**2j**) provided the product **3j** in 62 % yield (entry 11), and thiophene-2-carbaldehyde (**2k**) gave the desired product **3k** in lower yield as well (entry 12). The aliphatic aldehyde **2l** gave **3l** in higher yield by using saturated NaCl (aq.) as the solvent. Both aryl and aliphatic difluoroenoxyisanes (**1a–f**) worked well with **2a** to give the corresponding products **3a** and **3m–q** in good yield (entries 1 and 14–18), but the aryl-substituted **1a–e** gave the corresponding products in higher yields. The activated ketones **5**, such as isatins and β,γ-unsaturated α-ketoesters, were also viable substrates for this on water reaction, thus giving the desired products **6a–h** in high yield.

The α,α-difluoro-β-hydroxy ketones **3** are versatile building blocks, and could be readily transformed into difluoro-methylated hydroxy esters, diols, *anti*-2,2-difluoropropane-1,3-diols, aminoalcohol, and 3,3-difluoroazetidine (for full

substrate scope of Mukaiyama aldol reaction and the product elaborations, see the Supporting Information).


Interestingly, an unprecedented Mukaiyama–Michael addition of the difluoroenoxyisilanes **1** to the isatylidene malononitriles **7** worked well on water, thus allowing efficient construction of the quaternary oxindoles **8** featuring a CF₂ group at the C3-position (Scheme 2). Remarkably, this reaction represents a rare example of on water, catalyst-free construction of quaternary carbon centers.^[1] It should be noted that the synthesis of quaternary oxindoles is of current interest^[15] because of the need for privileged scaffolds in medicinal research.




Scheme 2. Mukaiyama–Michael addition using **1**.

The high efficiency of these catalyst-free, on water reactions of difluoroenoxyisilanes is impressive, and obviously resulted from the difluorine substitution, as revealed by the following control experiments. First, the on water reaction of the nonfluorinated silyl enol ether **9** and **2a**, although not reversible,^[16] gave only trace amounts of the product **11**, with almost complete hydrolysis of **9**. In contrast, the presence of enough fluorine atoms on the enol silyl ether was also important, as the monofluorinated analogue **10** reacted with **2a** sluggishly to give **12** in only 26% yield (NMR) under the same reaction conditions. Noticeably, the difluoroenoxyisilane **1a** hydrolyzed at a much faster rate than both **9** and **10** in the absence of the aldehyde **2a**. These observations could not be explained by the reactivity difference between **9** and **1a**, because although there is a difference in reactivity, it is not that significant (**9** is two times more reactive than **1a** in palladium-catalyzed arylation reactions,^[7d] but is less reactive in a Brønsted acid catalyzed Mannich reaction^[7g]). In addition, although chlorine is highly electronegative, the dichloroenoxyisilane **16** failed to react with **2a** or the isatin **5a** to give the desired aldol adduct. More surprising results came from the homogeneous reactions run in MeOH. Despite the methanolysis of **9** within 20 minutes, the reaction of the nonfluorinated **9** and **2a** gave the adduct **11** in 8% yield upon isolation, and is in sharp contrast to the fact that only trace amounts of **11** were detected under on water conditions, even though it took about 45 hours for the complete hydrolysis of **9** under on water conditions. In addition, while both C–F...H–O

1) Under heterogeneous "on-water" conditions (Ar = *p*-ClC₆H₄)



2) Under homogeneous condition in methanol (Ar = *p*-ClC₆H₄)




interactions and hydrogen-bonding activation of **2a**^[17] could be expected, the homogeneous reaction of **1a** and **2a** in MeOH provided **3aa** in only 29% yield, accompanied by side products (see the Supporting Information for detailed discussion).

To account for why the reaction of **1a** and **2a** proceeded much more efficiently on water (heterogeneous) than in MeOH (homogeneous), but that of **9** and **2a** worked substantially better in MeOH than under on water conditions, we propose that oil droplets, formed from **1a** and **2a** on water, have a microstructure different from those formed between **9** and **2a**. Through the hydrogen-bonding interactions with both the CF₂ group of **1** and the carbonyl group of **2**, as suggested in Scheme 1, the network of hydroxy groups at the phase boundary elegantly preorganizes both reaction partners to react with each other. Without such organization through C–F...H–O interactions, the microstructure of the oil droplets derived from **9** and **2a** might result in an unfavorable orientation of the reactants, thus making it difficult for **9** to approach the aldehyde and leading to almost complete hydrolysis of **9**.

According to this mechanistic insight, the hydrophobic TMS moiety of **1** should be positioned within the interior of the oil droplet. Therefore, it was possible to use a chiral Lewis base to activate **1a** from the interior of the droplet and cooperate with the hydrogen-bonding interactions at the periphery to realize asymmetric synthesis. Indeed, when (QD)₂PYR^[18] was used, **3aa** was obtained in 73% yield and 39% *ee*. Increasing the loading of (QD)₂PYR from 10 mol% to 30 mol% resulted in the increase of the *ee* value of **3aa** from 39 to 53%,^[19] possibly because (QD)₂PYR was dis-

- Pirring, K. Das Sarma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 444–445; for reviews, see: s) M. B. Gawande, V. D. B. Bonifácio, R. Luque, P. S. Branco, R. S. Varma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 5522–5551; t) C.-J. Li, B. M. Trost, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 13197–13202.
- [2] For reviews, see: a) M. Schlosser, *Angew. Chem.* **1998**, *110*, 1538–1556; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1998**, *37*, 1496–1513; b) B. E. Smart, *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2001**, *109*, 3–11; c) K. Mikami, Y. Itoh, M. Yamanaka, *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1–16; d) D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 308–319; e) L. Hunter, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, DOI: 10.3762/bjoc.6.38.
- [3] For some metal-catalyzed reactions using fluorinated substrates in aqueous medium without studying fluorine effects, see: a) B. Morandi, E. M. Carreira, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 950–953; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 938–941; b) M. Hu, C. Ni, J. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 15257–15260. Chiba and co-workers observed that a fluorous micellar system in water could accelerate Diels–Alder reactions, see: c) K. Nishimoto, Y. Okada, S. Kim, K. Chiba, *Electrochim. Acta* **2011**, *56*, 10626–10631.
- [4] For reviews, see: a) L. Shimoni, J. P. Glusker, *Struct. Chem.* **1994**, *5*, 383–397; b) J. A. K. Koward, V. J. Hoy, D. O'Hagan, G. T. Smith, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 712613–712622; c) J. D. Dunitz, R. Taylor, *Chem. Eur. J.* **1997**, *3*, 89–98; d) H.-J. Schneider, *Chem. Sci.* **2011**, *3*, 1381; for examples of C–F...H–X interactions, see: e) X. Zhao, X.-Z. Wang, X.-K. Jiang, Y.-Q. Chen, Z.-T. Li, G.-J. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 15128–15139; f) Y.-H. Liu, L. Zhang, X.-N. Xu, Z.-M. Li, D.-W. Zhang, X. Zhao, Z.-T. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 494–500.
- [5] For recent reviews, see: a) C. del Pozo, S. Fustero, H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 2432–2506; b) J.-A. Ma, S. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 712–715; c) C.-P. Zhang, Q.-Y. Chen, Y. Guo, J.-C. Xiao, Y.-C. Gu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 4536–4559; d) F. Tur, J. Mansilla, V. J. Lillo, J. M. Saá, *Synthesis* **2010**, 1909–1923; e) C. Czekelius, C. C. Tzschucke, *Synthesis* **2010**, 543–566.
- [6] a) Y.-L. Liu, T.-D. Shi, F. Zhou, X.-L. Zhao, X. Wang, J. Zhou, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 3826–3829; b) Y.-L. Liu, X.-P. Zeng, J. Zhou, *Chem. Asian J.* **2012**, *7*, 1759–1763; c) Y.-L. Liu, X. Wang, Y.-L. Zhao, F. Zhu, X.-P. Zeng, L. Chen, C.-H. Wang, X.-L. Zhao, J. Zhou, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 13980; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 13735; d) Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhou, *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 1919–1921; e) Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhou, *Acta Chim. Sin.* **2012**, *70*, 1451–1456; f) L. Chen, T.-D. Shi, *Chem. Asian J.* **2013**, *8*, 556–559; g) Y.-L. Liu, F.-M. Liao, Y.-F. Niu, X.-L. Zhao, J. Zhou, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, DOI: 10.1039/C4QO00126E.
- [7] For preparation, see: a) H. Amii, T. Kobayashi, Y. Hatamoto, K. Uneyama, *Chem. Commun.* **1999**, 1323–1324; for selected applications, see: b) F. Chorki, F. Grellepois, B. Crousse, M. Ourévitche, D. Bonnet-Delpon, J.-P. Bégué, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 7858–7863; c) K. Uneyama, H. Tanaka, S. Kobayashi, M. Shioyama, H. Amii, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 2733–2736; d) Y. Guo, J. M. Shreeve, *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 3583–3585; e) L. Chu, X. Zhang, F.-L. Qing, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2197–2200; f) Z. Yuan, L. Mei, Y. Wei, M. Shi, P. V. Kattamuri, P. McDowell, G. Li, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2012**, *10*, 2509–2513; g) W. Kashikura, K. Mori, T. Akiyama, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 1860–1863.
- [8] For Mukaiyama aldol reaction using **1**, see Ref. [7a] and: a) O. Lefebvre, T. Brigaud, C. Portella, *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 1941–1946; b) Z.-L. Yuan, Y. Wei, M. Shi, *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 7361–7366; for other synthetic methods: c) C. Han, E. H. Kim, D. A. Colby, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 5802–5805; d) P. V. Ramachandran, A. Tafelska-Kaczmarek, K. Sakavuyi, A. Chatterjee, *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 1302–1305.
- [9] For reviews, see: a) J. Hu, W. Zhang, F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 7465–7478; b) M. J. Tozer, T. F. Herpin, *Tetrahedron* **1996**, *52*, 8619–8683; c) N. Shibata, S. Mizuta, H. Kawai, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2008**, *19*, 2633–2644; for recent examples, see: d) C. Ni, J. Liu, L. Zhang, J. Hu, *Angew. Chem.* **2007**, *119*, 800–803; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 786–789; e) Y. Fujiwara, J. A. Dixon, R. A. Rodriguez, R. D. Baxter, D. D. Dixon, M. R. Collins, D. G. Blackmond, P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 1494–1497; f) P. S. Fier, J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5524–5527; g) P. S. Fier, J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 2146–2149; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 2092–2095; h) N. Shibata, K. Fukushi, T. Furukawa, S. Suzuki, E. Tokunaga, D. Cahard, *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5366–5369; i) G. K. S. Prakash, C. Ni, F. Wang, Z. Zhang, R. Haiges, G. A. Olah, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 11035–11039; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10835–10839; j) Q. Liu, Y. Wu, P. Chen, G. Liu, *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 6210–6213.
- [10] For a review, see: C. Pesenti, F. Viani, *ChemBioChem* **2004**, *5*, 590–613.
- [11] For a recent review, see: a) T. Kitano, S. Kobayashi, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2013**, *355*, 3095–3118; for selected examples, see: b) S. Ishikawa, T. Hamada, K. Manabe, S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 12236–12237; c) A. Lubineau, *J. Org. Chem.* **1986**, *51*, 2142–2144; d) T.-P. Loh, L.-C. Feng, L.-L. Wei, *Tetrahedron* **2000**, *56*, 7309–7312; e) A. Lubineau, E. Meyer, *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 6065–6070; f) J. Alam, T. H. Keller, T.-P. Loh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 9546–9548.
- [12] Z. Zhang, P. R. Schreiner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1187–1198.
- [13] The heterogeneity of the reaction was obvious, as shown below.



- [14] For the pioneer work on surfactant-type catalysis, see: a) S. Kobayashi, K. Manabe, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2002**, *35*, 209–217; b) K. Manabe, X.-M. Sun, S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 10101–10102.
- [15] For a comprehensive review, see: F. Zhou, Y.-L. Liu, J. Zhou, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, *352*, 1381–1407.
- [16] We thank one reviewer for suggesting the examination of 1) whether the aldol reaction using the nonfluorinated silyl enol ether **9** is reversible, which would lead to its inefficient reaction, and 2) whether an electronegative atom such as chlorine on the silyl enol ethers might afford the same results as fluorine. For detailed control experiments to confirm the fact that the aldol adduct **11** or its O-TMS analogue is reluctant to undergo retroaldol reaction, please see the Supporting Information.
- [17] For hydrogen-bond donor catalysis, see: a) J. Seayad, B. List, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 719–724; b) A. G. Doyle, E. N. Jacobsen, *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 5713–5743; for Mukaiyama aldol reaction catalyzed by H-bonding donors: c) W. Zhuang, T. B. Poulsen, K. A. Jørgensen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2005**, *3*, 3284–3289; d) V. B. Gondí, M. Gravel, V. H. Rawal, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 5657–5660.
- [18] For a recent review on cinchona alkaloid derivatives, see: E. M. O. Yeboah, S. O. Yeboah, G. S. Singh, *Tetrahedron* **2011**, *67*, 1725–1762.
- [19] Increasing the amount of (QD)₂PYR to 30 mol %, the organic phase became a gumlike precipitate which could not be stirred.
- [20] For a review, see: a) Y.-L. Liu, J.-S. Yu, J. Zhou, *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *2*, 194–206. During revision and resubmission process of this manuscript, Wolf et al. reported a chiral Lewis acid catalyzed version: b) P. Zhang, C. Wolf, *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 8023–8027; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 7869–7873.